1	BEFORE THE
	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
2	
3	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION ) DOCKET NO. On Its Own Motion ) 12-0213
4	) )
5	Implementation of Section ) 16-128A(a) of the Public Utilities )
6	Act. )
7	Springfield, Illinois
8	Wednesday, June 27, 2012
9	Met, pursuant to notice, at 9:00 a.m.
10	BEFORE:
11	MR. JOHN ALBERS, Administrative Law Judge
12	APPEARANCES:
13	
	MR. JOHN L. SAGONE
14	Office of General Counsel
15	Illinois Commerce Commission
	160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800 Chicago, Illinois 60601
16	(Appearing via teleconference or
17	behalf of Staff witnesses of the Illinois Commerce Commission)
18	IIIInois Commerce Commission)
19	
20	
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Carla J. Boehl, Reporter
22	CSR #084-002710

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	MR. EDWARD C. FITZHENRY Managing Associate General Counsel
3	Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois
4	1901 Chouteau Avenue PO Box 66149 (M/C 1310)
5	St. Louis, Missouri 63166-6149
6	(Appearing via teleconference on behalf of Ameren Illinois
7	Company)
8	MR. RONALD D. JOLLY MR. GRAHAM McCAHAN
9	Department of Law City of Chicago
10	30 North LaSalle, Suite 900 Chicago, Illinois 60602-2580
11	(Appearing via teleconference on
12	behalf of the City of Chicago)
13	MS. SUZAN M. STEWART MS. JENNIFER S. MOORE
14	MidAmerican Energy Company PO Box 4350
15	106 East Second Street Davenport, Iowa 52808
16	(Appearing via teleconference on
17	behalf of MidAmerican Energy Company)
18	
19	MS. ANN MITCHELL ROONEY, RIPPIE & RATNASWAMY, LLP
20	350 West Hubbard Street, Suite 430 Chicago, Illinois 60654
21	(Appearing via teleconference on
22	behalf of Commonwealth Edison Company)

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	MS. ROCHELLE G. SKOLNICK SCHUCHAT, COOK & WERNER
3	1221 Locust Street, 2nd Floor
	St. Louis, Missouri 63103
4	
5	(Appearing via teleconference on behalf of IBEW Local 51)
6	MR. MICHAEL R. STRONG Chief Legal Officer
7	Illinois Power Agency
•	160 North LaSalle Street, Suite N-506
8	Chicago, Illinois 60601
9	(Appearing via teleconference on
	behalf of the Illinois Power
10	Agency)
11	MS. CATHY C. YU
12	MR. JAMES GIGNAC
12	Public Utilities Bureau Illinois Attorney General's Office
13	100 West Randolph Street, 11th Floor
	Chicago, Illinois 60601
14	
	(Appearing via teleconference on
15	behalf of the People of the
	State of Illinois)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
44	

1		IND	E X		
2		D.T.D.T.G.T.	ano a a		D=GD044
3	WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
4	(None)				
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14		EXHIE	BITS		
15	(None)			MARKED	ADMITTED
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

## 1 PROCEEDINGS

- 2 JUDGE ALBERS: By the authority vested in me by
- 3 the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
- 4 Number 12-0213. This docket was initiated by the
- 5 Commission on its own motion and concerns
- 6 implementation of Section 16-128(A)(a) of the Public
- 7 Utilities Act.
- 8 May I have appearances for the record,
- 9 please?
- 10 MR. SAGONE: On behalf of the Staff witnesses
- 11 of the Illinois Commerce Commission, John Sagone, 160
- 12 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800, Chicago 60601.
- 13 MR. FITZHENRY: Edward Fitzhenry on behalf of
- 14 Ameren Illinois Company. My address is 1901 Chouteau
- 15 Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.
- 16 MS. YU: Cathy Yu from the Illinois Attorney
- 17 General's Office and James Gignac, 100 West Randolph
- 18 Street, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 19 MR. STRONG: Michael Strong, Chief Legal
- 20 Counsel at the Illinois Power Agency, 160 North
- 21 LaSalle, Suite 10506, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 22 MS. MITCHELL: On behalf of Commonwealth Edison

- 1 Company, Ann Mitchell with the firm Rooney, Rippie
- 2 and Ratnaswamy, 350 West Hubbard, Suite 600, Chicago,
- 3 Illinois 60604.
- 4 MR. JOLLY: On behalf of the City of Chicago,
- 5 Ronald D. Jolly and Graham McCahan. Our address is
- 6 30 North LaSalle, Suite 900, Chicago, Illinois 60602.
- 7 MS. SKOLNICK: On behalf of IBEW, Rochelle
- 8 Skolnick, Schuchat, Cook and Werner, 2021 Locust
- 9 Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.
- 10 MS. STEWART: On behalf of MidAmerican Energy
- 11 Company, Suzan M. Stewart and Jennifer S. Moore, 401
- 12 Douglas Street, P.O. Box 778, Sioux City, Iowa 51102.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Any others?
- 14 (No response.)
- 15 Let the record show no response.
- 16 The only preliminary matter I have
- 17 this morning is a Petition to Intervene of the
- 18 Illinois Solar Energy Association which was filed
- 19 yesterday. Any objections to that petition?
- 20 (No response.)
- 21 Hearing none, it is granted.
- 22 When we last met, the parties

- 1 indicated they had planned on scheduling some
- 2 workshops. Actually, before I go any further, does
- 3 anyone else have any preliminary matters they wanted
- 4 to raise?
- 5 MR. SAGONE: Judge, I just wanted to mention
- 6 for the Solar Association I went ahead and forwarded
- 7 them all the information on where the comments and
- 8 workshop information is located.
- 9 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. On the website?
- 10 MR. SAGONE: Yes.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Great, thank you.
- 12 So when we last met, the parties
- 13 talked about holding some workshops prior to today's
- 14 status hearing. Would anyone care to update the
- 15 record on where that stands?
- 16 MR. SAGONE: Yes, Your Honor, this is John
- 17 Sagone.
- 18 We had originally had the weeks of
- 19 June 11 and June 18 scheduled for workshops. We had
- 20 to push that out a little bit. We are actually going
- 21 to be holding the first workshop tomorrow from 1:00
- 22 to 4:00 p.m., and we have got time set aside at the

- 1 end of that to discuss what the next steps would be,
- 2 whether we think further workshops would be necessary
- 3 and sort of where the areas of agreement are and that
- 4 sort of thing.
- 5 JUDGE ALBERS: All right. So there may be
- 6 additional workshops after tomorrow?
- 7 MR. SAGONE: That's a possibility. We are
- 8 hoping that no further workshops would be necessary,
- 9 but we are going to see after the workshop.
- 10 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. And once the workshops
- 11 are completed, are you looking to have perhaps a
- 12 draft rule to work from or have there been other
- 13 alternatives suggested?
- 14 MR. SAGONE: Well, Staff actually circulated
- 15 sort of a proposed -- some rather rough language but
- 16 sort of a straw man rule already, so that will be
- 17 part of the discussion tomorrow. And then, yes, the
- 18 goal would be to develop a more sort of formal rule
- 19 out of that.
- 20 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Well, I will just mention
- 21 my preference at this point. I am open to other
- 22 suggestions if something turns out to be more

- 1 practical, but I think going forward it would be best
- 2 that we did have one rule to start with for people to
- 3 recommend revisions to when we get to the testimony
- 4 stage, assuming there is no agreement on what the
- 5 rule should provide for.
- 6 So would the parties then prefer to
- 7 have another status hearing after the scheduled
- 8 workshop?
- 9 MR. SAGONE: Yes. We had that discussion off
- 10 the record and we were looking at July 9 at 10:00
- 11 a.m., if that's agreeable to everyone.
- 12 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. It sounds like everybody
- 13 is okay with that date and time. So does anybody
- 14 have anything else they would like to add?
- 15 (No response.)
- 16 Okay. I'll take that as a no.
- 17 Well, if there is nothing further
- 18 then, I wish you all good luck on the workshop, and
- 19 we will continue this to July 9 at ten o'clock.
  - (Whereupon the hearing in this
- 20 matter was continued until July
- 9, 2012, at 10:00 a.m. in
- 21 Springfield, Illinois.)

22